

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,525	07/09/2001	Bernard Beier	A-2881	4905
7	590 05/16/2002			
LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A.			EXAMINER	
Post Office Bo Hollywood, FL			NGHIEM, MICHAEL P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2861 DATE MAILED: 05/16/2002				
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/901,525	BEIER, BERNARD	MC				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Michael P Nghiem	2861					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any								
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on						
2a)□	·	 ☐ This action is non-fina	I.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the ap		.					
-: -	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration	Off.					
,	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	6) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.							
,	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2001</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)								
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap)-948) 5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No lotice of Informal Patent Application (PT htther:					

Application/Control Number: 09/901,525 Page 2

Art Unit: 2861

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- "cylinder 46" (page 10, line 6) should be -- cylinder 110 --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 1, 12, 13, how does one make a laser device to produce laser radiation having ultrashort pulses with a duration of less than 1 ns.

The remaining claims are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,525 Page 3

Art Unit: 2861

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 4, and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rothrock (US 3,657,510).

Rothrock discloses all the claimed features of the invention including:

- a device (Fig. 1) for forming an image on a printing plate (19), comprising:
- at least one laser (laser beam via laser head, Fig. 1), and an optical system (14) for forming an image of radiation from the laser on the printing plate,
- said laser is installed for multimode operation and is mode-coupled (column 1, lines 4-7);
- said one laser has a supply current with ac (ac power to 12) and dc (dc current to 11) components;
- said optical system for forming an image of the radiation on the printing plate has reflective elements (14, 22);
 - said optical system has micro-optical elements (column 3, lines 45-49);

Art Unit: 2861

- said dc-component is able to be modulated (via 14).

Even though Rothrock does not explicitly discloses that said laser radiation has ultrashort pulses with a duration of less than 1 ns, it is argued that since the laser head of Rothrock teaches the claimed laser device, it can perform the claimed function.

Furthermore, claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. In re Danley, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959). "Apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does ."(emphasis in original) Hewlett - Packard Co . v. Bausch & Lomb Inc ., 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rothrock in view of Huber (US 5,208,819).

Rothrock does not disclose the following claimed features:

- said laser radiation is generatable by a semiconductor laser;

a control arrangement for temperature regulation of the laser.

Nevertheless, Huber discloses that a laser radiation is generatable by a semiconductor

Page 5

laser (column 3, lines 21-22) for the purpose of outputting a communication signal, and

a control arrangement (12 controls power of laser) for the purpose of controlling the

intensity of the signal.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to provide Rothrock with a semiconductor laser and a laser

control as disclosed by Huber for the purposes of outputting a communication signal

and controlling the intensity of the signal.

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rothrock in

view of Haas (US 5,874,981).

Rothroth further discloses a laser array (array of laser head, Fig. 1) comprises

individually drivable single-strip diode lasers (Fig. 1).

However, Rothrock does not disclose that said at least one laser array is a diode laser

array.

Nevertheless, Haas discloses a diode laser array (column 1, lines 14-16) for the purpose of supplying a writing beam.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Rothrock with a diode laser array as disclosed by Haas for the purpose of supplying a writing beam.

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Nghiem whose telephone number is (703) 306-3445. The examiner can normally be reached on M-H from 6:30AM – 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached at (703) 308-3126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-5841 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Michael Nghiem

May 14, 2002